



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/787,074

02/19/2004

Michele C. Clayton

P17730

2852

46915

7590

11/14/2007

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP.

ATTN: INT77

315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210

BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212

EXAMINER

ZHOU, YONG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4177

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/14/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/787,074	CLAYTON, MICHELE C.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Yong Zhou	4177	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Yong Zhou. (3) David Victor.  
 (2) Benny Q. Tieu. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11/7/2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,377,987.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amended claims 1 and 16 were discussed at the interview. Examiner indicated that the amendment did not overcome the prior art reference relied upon in the first Office action. Applicant agreed to submit a written reply with an amendment to include more specific limitations into the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Benny Q. Tieu/  
 SPE/ Trainer

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required